Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Andrew Hempel,^a Norman Camerman,^a* Arthur Camerman^b‡ and Donald Mastropaolo^b

^aDepartment of Biochemistry, University of Toronto, Medical Sciences Building, Toronto, Canada M5S 1A8, and ^bArdono Research, 341 101st Avenue SE, Bellevue, WA 98004, USA

‡ Deceased

Correspondence e-mail: norman.camerman@utoronto.ca

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 294 K Mean σ (C–C) = 0.016 Å R factor = 0.072 wR factor = 0.206 Data-to-parameter ratio = 8.0

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

Pargyline hydrochloride: a monoclinic form

In the crystal structure of the title compound, *N*-benzyl-*N*-methylprop-2-yn-1-aminium chloride, $C_{11}H_{14}N^+ \cdot Cl^-$, the asymmetric unit contains two independent enantiomeric cations related by a pseudo-center of symmetry. In both cations, the protonation occurs at the N atom, and the side chains are roughly perpendicular to the benzene rings. In addition to the conventional N-H···Cl hydrogen bonds, there are several weak hydrogen bonds of the type C-H···Cl. The cations are arranged head-to-head and tail-to-tail, producing hydrophilic and hydrophobic areas

Received 19 April 2005 Accepted 27 April 2005 Online 7 May 2005

Comment

As detailed in the preceding paper (Hempel *et al.*, 2005), pargyline is an irreversible inhibitor of monoamine oxidases. Crystallization of pargyline hydrochloride resulted in two crystal forms, each with two independent enantiomeric cations in the asymmetric unit.



The structure of the title compound, (I), is presented in Fig. 1. As in the orthorhombic structure, the asymmetric unit contains two independent enantiomeric molecules protonated at the N atom and related by a non-crystallographic inversion center, located in this crystal form at (0.83, 0.20, 0.64). The conformations of the two independent pargyline cations, as well as the two cations in the orthorhombic crystal form, are virtually identical; the torsion angles C1-C7-N8-C9 and C1-C7-N8-C10 differ by no more than 3.2 and 3.0°,



Figure 1

© 2005 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved

The structure of the asymmetric unit of pargyline hydrochloride, showing 50% probability displacement ellipsoids. H atoms are drawn as small circles of arbitrary radii. Hydrogen bonds are shown as dashed lines.



Figure 2

Stereoscopic view of the molecular packing and hydrogen-bond scheme (shown as dashed lines between atoms). Atoms are drawn as circles of arbitrary radii.

respectively, among the four cations. The production of the different crystal forms is therefore driven solely by alternative crystal-packing arrangements, in slightly different crystal-lization media. In both crystal structures, the cations are packed in a head-to-head and tail-to-tail fashion, creating distinct hydrophilic and hydrophobic regions, which run perpendicular to the b axis in this crystal form (Fig. 2).

Two standard $N-H\cdots Cl$ hydrogen bonds, and a variety of a weak non-standard hydrogen bonds of the type $C-H\cdots Cl$ (Steiner, 1997) define the coordination about the Cl^- ions. The difference here is that both H atoms at C10B are involved, *versus* only one in the orthorhombic form. The H atom at C6Bdoes not participate here, and no C9 methyl groups H atoms are involved in this structure, whereas in the orthorhombic form, atom C6B and both methyl groups donate one H atom each to the hydrogen-bond network (Table 1). Van der Waals interactions also contribute to the crystal packing.

Experimental

Crystals of the monoclinic form of pargyline hydrochloride were obtained from a chloroform–benzene–butanone mixture (1:1:0.1) subjected to slow evaporation at 278 K. Crystals appeared after about two weeks. The crystals were small colorless needles of a rather poor quality. Crystallization trials to obtain better crystals were unsuccessful.

Crystal data

$C_{11}H_{14}N^{+}\cdot Cl^{-}$
$M_r = 195.68$
Monoclinic, P21
$a = 5.739 (2) \text{ Å}_{1}$
b = 33.684(5)Å
c = 6.003 (2) Å
$\beta = 106.79 \ (4)^{\circ}$
V = 1111.0 (6) Å ³
Z = 4

Data collection

Picker FACS-1 four-circle diffractometer $\omega/2\theta$ scans Absorption correction: ψ scan (North *et al.*, 1968) $T_{\min} = 0.747, T_{\max} = 0.870$ 2105 measured reflections 1918 independent reflections 1651 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.072$ $wR(F^2) = 0.206$ S = 1.521918 reflections 241 parameters H-atom parameters constrained

Table 1

Hydrogen-bonding geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D-\mathrm{H}$	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
$N8A - H8A \cdots Cl1A$	0.91	2.14	3.042 (7)	169
$N8B - H8B \cdot \cdot \cdot Cl2B$	0.91	2.13	3.025 (6)	170
$C10A - H10B \cdot \cdot \cdot Cl1A^{i}$	0.97	2.75	3.559 (8)	142
$C10B - H10C \cdot \cdot \cdot Cl2B^{ii}$	0.97	2.75	3.531 (8)	138
$C10B - H10D \cdot \cdot \cdot Cl2B^{iii}$	0.97	2.82	3.701 (8)	152
$C12A - H12A \cdot \cdot \cdot Cl2B^{ii}$	0.93	2.64	3.546 (11)	164
$C12B - H12B \cdot \cdot \cdot Cl1A^{i}$	0.93	2.66	3.559 (13)	163
$C7A - H7A2 \cdot \cdot \cdot Cl1A^{iv}$	0.97	2.80	3.648 (9)	147
$C7B - H7B1 \cdots Cl2B^{iii}$	0.97	2.82	3.669 (10)	147

 $D_x = 1.170 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Cu K α radiation Cell parameters from 32 reflections $\theta = 19-43^{\circ}$ $\mu = 2.67 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 294 (2) K Needle, colorless $0.28 \times 0.09 \times 0.05 \text{ mm}$

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.034$

 $\theta_{\rm max} = 65.0^{\circ}$

 $h = -6 \rightarrow 6$

 $k = 0 \rightarrow 39$

3 standard reflections

every 100 reflections

intensity decay: 2.7%

 $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.1459P)^2]$

Absolute structure: Flack (1983),

Flack parameter = 0.41 (10)

+ 0.5682P] where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$

 $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.73 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$

 $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.49 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$

no Friedel pairs

 $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$

 $l = 0 \rightarrow 7$

Symmetry codes: (i) x, y, 1 + z; (ii) x, y, z - 1; (iii) x - 1, y, z - 1; (iv) 1 + x, y, 1 + z.

Although all the H atoms could be located in a difference map, as in the case of the orthorhombic form, their poor behavior during the refinement forced us to place them in calculated positions and refine them in the riding-model approximation. One overall isotropic displacement parameter was refined for methyl-group H atoms and another for the rest of the H atoms. The final $U_{\rm iso}$ was 0.079 (10) for the methyl H atoms and 0.089 (7) for the remainder. The range of C— H distances is 0.93–0.97 Å. The N—H distance is 0.91 Å. The value of the Flack (1983) parameter indicates that the crystal is an inversion twin; this is not surprising, given the occurrence of inversion pairs of molecules in this non-centrosymmetric space group.

Data collection and cell refinement: *Picker Operating Manual* (Picker, 1967); data reduction: *DATRDN* (Stewart, 1976); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *ORTEP-3 for Windows* (Farrugia, 1997); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL97*.

References

Farrugia, L. J. (1997). J. Appl. Cryst. 30, 565.

- Flack, H. D. (1983). Acta Cryst. A**39**, 876–881. Hempel, A., Camerman, N., Camerman, A. & Mastropaolo, D. (2005). Acta Cryst. E61, o1598-o1600.
- North, A. C. T., Phillips, D. C. & Mathews, F. S. (1968). Acta Cryst. A24, 351-359.
- Picker (1967). Picker Operating Manual. Picker, Cleveland, Ohio, USA.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXS97 and SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Steiner, T. (1997). *Chem. Commun.* pp. 727–734. Stewart, J. M. (1976). *DATRDN.* The X-ray System. Technical Report TR-446. Computer Science Center, University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland, USA.